

**Non-Traditional Proposal Competency Review**

Student: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Title of Project: \_\_\_\_\_

PASS: \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_ Pass with Conditions (**See comments**)

\_\_\_ Clear Statement of Type of Project

Type of Project: \_\_\_ Theoretical Paper \_\_\_ Service \_\_\_ Program Development  
 \_\_\_ Other (Describe): \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_ Clear Statement of Objectives Project will Accomplish:  
 (Objectives must be precise, discrete and subject to verification/evaluation by committee)

\_\_\_ Importance of project commensurate with practitioner-scholar identity

\_\_\_ Feasible timeline for incremental completion of the project delineated

\_\_\_ Adequate Summary of Relevant Literature  
 (Criteria: Thorough, Current, Professional Sources, Demonstrates value/pertinence of proposal, Reflects critical evaluation of area.)

\_\_\_ Spiritual/integration issues addressed as appropriate.

\_\_\_ Proposal document contains a planned table of contents reflecting the chapters and relevant supplemental material that will constitute the finished dissertation manuscript.

\_\_\_ Competent Presentation/Management of Proposal  
 (Criteria: Student gave cogent oral presentation, Student's presentation was concise, Student responded to committee questions/concerns in a manner commensurate with doctoral standing, Negative criticisms appropriately handled)

\*\*\*\*\*

**Endorsements**

Name (Printed)	Signature	Date
Committee Chairperson	_____	_____
Committee Member	_____	_____
Committee Member	_____	_____
Committee Member	_____	_____
Program Director	_____	_____

Comments: